

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,361	01/23/2006	Dieter Tischenborf	CPBMUL34	5578
Charles P Bouk	7590 08/29/200 us Jr	EXAMINER		
Suite 202			CUTLIFF, YATE KAI RENE	
2001 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/565,361	TISCHENBORF, DIETER			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Yate' K. Cutliff	1621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Re	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>January 23. 2007</u> .					
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
Clo	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)	aim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdravaim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or examples.					
Application	Papers					
10)	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 The	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Informati	ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-20, drawn to the method for production of raw materials for candle production.

Group II, claim 21, drawn to a raw material for candle production.

Group III, claim 22, drawn to a candle.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I, II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the method for the production of raw materials for candle production and for heat storage material is disclosed in U.S. 5,928,696 to Best et al. (claim 1) and specifically in the process step of Example 1 in column 7. Best et al lacks the express disclosure of esterification of the lipids as an isolation step. However, it is well know in the art that esterification is a further processing step that can be used with lipids (fatty acids). Further, the raw material of claim 21 and the candle of claim 22 could reasonably be made using

different starting products. Therefore, the technical feature common to all the claims,

method of making the raw material, does not constitute a special technical feature as

Page 3

defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these

inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above

and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not

required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their

different classification;

(b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

recognized divergent subject matter;

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching

different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search

queries);

(d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to

another invention;

(e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.

101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u>

include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing

the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yate' K. Cutliff whose telephone number is (571) 272-9067. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/565,361 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yvonne Eyler can be reached on (571) 272 - 0871. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Yaté K. Cutliff Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 1621 Technology Center 1600

> SAMUEL BARTS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200